Tucson Unified School District

Pima County

Efficiency peer groups 1 and T-5, Achievement peer group 3¹ Legislative district(s): 2, 3, 4, 9, and 10


OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY

District size, location: Very la Students attending: Number of schools:

Very large, City 44,948 86

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT, STUDENT AND TEACHER MEASURES, AND REVENUES

Spending by operational area



Administration, 11% Plant operations, 12.3% Food service, 4.5% Transportation, 5.5% Student support, 9% Instruction support, 6.8%


Efficiency measures relative to peer averages

Operational area	Measure		Distric	ct	Peer average	State average		
	Cost per pupi		\$1,00)6	\$680	\$844		
Administration	Students per administrative	position	5	50	80	67		
Plant	Cost per squa	are foot	\$6.1	7	\$6.15	\$6.30		
operations	Square footag student	je per	18	32	147	155		
Food service	Cost per mea	\$2.9	98	\$2.79	\$2.88			
Cost per mile			\$4.79		\$4.15	\$3.84		
Transportation	Cost per rider		\$2,36	8	\$1,809	\$1,198		
Very low	Low	Compar	able		High	Very high		

Per pupil spending

					Peer	1	State
	District		average		average		
Spending by area		2016	2017		2017		2017
Instruction	\$	4,108	\$ 4,647	\$	4,386	\$	4,377
Administration		914	1,006		680		844
Plant operations		1,105	1,122		877		977
Food service		423	408		323		422
Transportation		487	498		341		381
Student support		810	824		679		679
Instruction support		565	625		358		461
Total operational	\$	8,412	\$ 9,130	\$	7,644	\$	8,141
Land and buildings	\$	200	\$ 78	\$	651	\$	691
Equipment		519	364		393		424
Interest		249	200		223		236
Other		112	136		209		161
Total nonoperational	\$	1,080	\$ 778	\$	1,476	\$	1,512
Total per pupil spending	\$	9,492	\$ 9,908	\$	9,120	\$	9,653

Students who passed state assessments



Student and teacher measures

		Peer	State
Measure	District	average	average
Attendance rate	92%	94%	94%
Graduation rate (2016)	85%	86%	80%
Poverty rate (2016)	28%	24%	22%
Special education population	14%	14%	12%
Students per teacher	17.7	18.8	18.5
Average teacher salary	\$50,276	\$45,975	\$48,372
Amount from Prop 301	\$7,879	\$5,671	\$5,840
Average years of teacher experience	12.6	11.8	11.3
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	16%	16%	19%

Per pupil revenues

						Peer		State
		District			average		average	
Revenues by source		2016		2017		2017		2017
Federal	\$	1,539	\$	1,593	\$	885	\$	1,318
State		3,777		3,670		3,394		3,831
Local		4,670		4,692		5,302		4,443
Total per pupil revenues	\$	9,986	\$	9,955	\$	9,581	\$	9,592
Select revenues from commo	on s	ources						
Equalization formula funding	\$	5,469	\$	5,409	\$	5,387	\$	5,503
Amount from Prop 123		240		242		241		245
Prop 123 additional funding		47		46		46		46
Grants		1,508		1,553		901		1,185
Donations and tax credits		90		84		98		89
					Ν	lumber	of	peers
Select revenues from less co	mn	non sou	irce	S		rece	ivin	g
Desegregation	\$	1,403	\$	1,411		4 o	f 1C)
Small school adjustment		0 0			0 of 10			
Federal impact aid		21 28		28	2 of 10			
Voter-approved levy increases		538 509			10 of 10			

See Appendix A for information such as districts included in each peer group and Appendix B for sources and methodology.

Tucson USD—page 2

Instructional spending percentage

 Year:
 2001
 2002
 2003
 2004
 2005
 2006
 2007
 2008
 2009
 2010
 2011
 2012
 2013
 2014
 2015
 2016
 2017

 Percentage:
 53.9
 54.7
 54.9
 55.0
 55.4
 54.9
 54.3
 53.4
 53.5
 53.9
 50.4
 50.6
 49.2
 48.7
 48.7
 48.8
 50.9

OPERATIONAL TRENDS AND FINANCIAL STRESS ASSESSMENT Fiscal years as indicated

\$1.250

\$1,000

\$500

\$250

\$0 — 12

\$750 \$854

5-year spending trend (2012 through 2017)


Administrative cost per pupil

13

Total operational spending per pupil, adjusted for inflation, decreased by 2 percent. The percentage of dollars spent on instruction varied year to year, increasing slightly overall from 50.6 to 50.9 percent. As a percentage of total operational spending, administration increased, while plant operations and student support decreased slightly. Other noninstructional areas remained stable.

Total operational and instructional spending per pupil (inflation adjusted to 2017 dollars)





Plant cost per square foot and square footage per student


14

15


16

\$1 006

17




Students attending




Financial stress assessment

Overall financial stress	level:	Low			
Measure: 2015 through	Assessment				
Change in number of dis	Moderate decrease				
Spending exceeded ope	rating/capital	budgets	No overspending		
Spending increase elect	Voter-rejected				
Operating reserve percer	3.2%, Decreasing				
Years of capital reserve I	More than 3 years				
Current financial and inte	Compliant				
Low	Mode	rate	High		

Food service cost per meal



Transportation costs per mile and per rider



Arizona School District Spending—Fiscal Year 2017 | March 2018 | Report 18-203